

Please forward to Kevin Duffy:

Dear Mr. Duffy,

Since I've kept you up to speed on this thing of interest to you, I thought I'd also keep you posted on my (probably final) follow-up to senators and TDs below.

Regards,  
David Reynolds

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **David Reynolds**

Date: Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 9:30 AM

Subject: URGENT: Not just GSOC but GARDAI itself likely controlled by criminal gang

To: David Reynolds <

Dear Senator of Seanad Eireann,

By the way, if anyone is wondering if these emails from me in the past few days are a hoax regarding the quashing of my GSOC complaint of Garda strike by a covert Garda operation, this email segment I sent to members of government may help to clarify that it is not:

I have personally met both Sean Kyne and Hildegarde Naughton in the last several weeks RE the Garda strike situation, and told at least Hildegarde (maybe Sean also) that I would be making a complaint to GSOC. Hildegarde expressed an interest in knowing how I got on with my complaint. I met one of Hildegarde's people on Friday (yesterday) in Woodquay, hoping to tell Hildegarde of the breaking news.

Finally, as pointed out to him, Enda Kenny personally knows a member of my family.

A special **thankyou to leaders of Fianna Fail and Sinn Fein** for acknowledging my URGENT email, impressively within two hours, off-hours on a Friday, and for letting me know that my 'forensic backup' was successful, on a weekend.

The Offences against the State Act 1939 has a special provision prohibiting "secret societies in army or police" making it illegal for members of the Gardai to meet in secret. Even back then the extreme dangerousness of a police "secret society" was well-known.

(By the way, the Act could be improved technically: the definition of "secret society" is far too narrow; perhaps look to the highly-successfully drafted RICO Act of the USA, for a definition of the equivalent of "gang" that was so cleverly drafted that it has been very difficult to dodge. Gangs often tend to be caught on RICO in the USA, often when other Acts fail to provide the basis to catch them.)

Regarding the correctness of classifying the Garda threatened strike as mutiny, the following is an important all-clarifying indisputable four-word sentence:

**Threatening mutiny is mutiny.**

This is obviously true. Consider a crew on a naval ship telling the captain "give us more pay or we run the ship onto the rocks"; the captain gives more pay in response; now, the claim that mutiny was happily avoided would be **utterly preposterous**. When mutiny has been threatened, it obviously has happened. Kevin Duffy (charman of Public Service Pay Commission was totally accurate in describing the threatened Garda strike as mutiny.

My complaint to GSOC regarding the Garda Mutiny of 2016 was illegally quashed possibly with the aid of a covert Garda operation. I reacted within hours and have had a little time to think in the meantime, and I have, I think, **IMPORTANT OBSERVATIONS**. The most important of these is **a very coherent theory, with good circumstantial and motivational evidence, of what really happened to Kieran Fitzgerald, commissioner of GSOC.**

Back to the emails to me from GSOC:

Is it coincidence that the email from GSOC to me comes from, apparently, "Kevin Duffy"? Does GSOC have a case-worker chosen to be the one to act to quash the complaint regarding the Garda Mutiny of 2016, whose name just happens to be the same as the prominent civil servant who, prominently, was brave enough to describe the Garda Mutiny as mutiny?

Is it coincidence, or is it a wry joke and subtle intimidation? In putting forward the Kevin Duffy name in an email to me, are they deriding me as a sort of Kevin Duffy, and covertly signalling their control of GSOC?

One thing has become obvious to me: GSOC is under the control of a criminal element within the Gardai. And it is not necessarily just at the hacker level.

**I think it is overwhelmingly likely that a powerful Garda mafia is well-entrenched and possibly even in-control at a very high level in the Gardai.** I think that the ranks of higher gardai have been successfully infiltrated, and they may even have control.

When these things happen, the gang covers for itself, and intimidates people who will get in the way. They gather dirt on people and use it as blackmail capacity. They may even set people up to do bad things and clandestinely bug them for blackmailing capacity. **Perhaps some of the recipients of this email now are under their grip in this way.**

The USA, which has separate police forces at city and state level, has hundreds of police forces. There is surely a wealth of information there about crushing police gangs. That knowledge-base should be tapped.

Obviously, you can forget about using the Gardai to quash an entrenched Garda gang. A distinct body needs to be set up. A separate non-Garda intelligence force has already been proposed and for unrelated reasons; it could double as an investigative and policing body of the Gardai and could root out such an entrenched Garda criminal gang. By the way, one of the reasons for keeping MI5 and MI6 separate was that MI5 was watching MI6 (I don't know if the converse was also happening). The infamous soviet spy Kim Philby of MI6 had been suspected by MI5 for a long time.

We don't just need an Ombudsman of the police; we obviously need a police of the police.

A secret ballot of all Gardai on who they believe are the most powerful likely gang-members would be a good start to the investigation. Gardai being balloted need to be very sure that their "vote" can't be traced to them, or else it is useless.

Why do I think such a powerful entrenched high-level Garda gang exists? This recent GSOC experience of mine coupled with the historical rumors of bugging is an obvious basis. It is not easy to bug and hack like that with impunity without tremendous power and support at a high Garda level.

But the other bases for believing such a Garda Mafia have high-level control in the Gardai are the Garda Mutinies themselves: the Garda Mutiny of 2016, and the Blue Flu Garda Mutiny of 1998. **These are not normal police things, in respectable countries.** I suspect these were actually ultimately instigated by the Garda Mafia, and if not instigated, given cover. They are indicative of an entrenchment of criminal mentality at high-levels in the Gardai. **Because the lower-down guys would not have gotten involved in such mutiny against the state without knowledge of cover from higher-up.**

And, by the way, as I show in the appendix, **an actual crime** was committed in the Garda Mutiny of 2016 for which 5 years in prison and up to €50,000 fine is the penalty. There is a common misconception that existing law was not broken. This is false. The Garda Mutiny of 2016, may have been one of the most successful extortions in history: They illegally put a gun to the taxpayer's head and were told to hand over. Gardai got to break the Landsdowne Road agreement and are getting I think 50+ million euro extra each year, which in 20 years would amount to a billion euro+. They may have unravelled the Landsdowne Road agreement as another adverse consequence.

Now, I've been thinking a bit more and I'm coming to another disturbing likelihood.

The following is not an aspersion on the character of Kieran Fitzgerald, in fact, if my theory on what happened to him is correct, it is actually the opposite: he may have been selected as a victim because of unusually good character of his; good character which threatened the Garda Mafia.

**Kieran Fitzgerald, commissioner of GSOC, last July apparently took a fall from a wall onto rocks in Spain, was put in induced coma for some time and has been recovering since.**

**The normal go-to person for suspicions like this is the police: in this case, it is obviously not, and TDs and senators become ONLY, and therefore the proper go-to people. Please discuss my theory with discretion and caution, and with due regard for Kieran Fitzgerald and his family.**

What would an entrenched Garda Mafia do if they thought that someone like Kieran Fitzgerald was not under their control? If they thought he was a risk?

I can tell what I think is the smartest thing. Don't kill him -- too obvious and suspicious, and by definition keeps him no longer under control. Make something happen that satisfies the following FOUR OBJECTIVES (i) people can believe it happened by accident, (ii) people cannot easily investigate (iii) it is easily and effectively covered up and (iv) really does put Kieran Fitzgerald and others under control.

Think about designing an operation that well-satisfies the above 4 objectives. I find it hard to find something better than the following:

An entrenched high-level Garda Mafia would likely develop contacts with a major criminal police gang(s) **in other non-English-speaking countries**. By doing surveillance on Kieran Fitzgerald they can know of his travel plans. Ideally at some point he visits a non-English-speaking country with shady and powerful police gang(s) who can be co-operated with, on a quid-pro-quo basis or payment basis.

With cover from a powerful foreign police gang, when he is visiting such foreign country, Irish Garda Mafia does a covert operation in which he is seized, tortured, injured, intimidated, told if he tells ANYONE, including his loved-ones, what really happened, that worse will happen to him OR his loved-ones. He is told who they are and how he will know when to back off when he is told.

His spine is fractured, he is in hospital, put on induced coma, under supervision of Garda mafia with help from Spanish police mafia. Hospital staff can be intimidated and controlled when necessary, and they may have chosen a Police-gang- infiltrated hospital; there could be criminal doctors, nurses and administrators there.

And he is told that he must say that he fell from a wall onto rocks. Good enough to make the fractured spine and other torture-related injuries make some sense. He is told to tell that story of falling off the wall, OR ELSE. Perhaps local witnesses are bought or coerced.

It is possible that induced coma was used as a control mechanism: perhaps to stop him talking to his relatives until he could be convinced not to.

Now: who is going to investigate this to make sure that this has NOT happened?

The Gardai? The *Policia Nacional*?

How is even an Irish investigative journalist going to find out about what really happened? How many Irish investigative journalists can speak Spanish? And even if they can cross the language barrier, how are they going to break through doings covered by an extremely powerful police gang in Spain? What Irish investigative journalist is happy to die?

Maybe the rest of the Fitzgerald family is suspicious, maybe not, but who can they talk to? The Irish police???

Who is ANYONE who is suspicious going to talk to?

**See if you can disagree** with the following sentence:

**Something happened to GSOC commissioner Kieran Fitzgerald in July 2016 which seems like the perfect thing to happen to him if a powerful and entrenched Irish police gang wanted to silence and control him and GSOC.**

It's true, isn't it? Can you think of better ways of doing it than the way I described? Can you think of a better plan to fit the 4 objectives? Ireland is not the place. Too easy for Irish people to ask questions. Maybe there is not an infiltrated hospital here. Too easy for Irish people to think Irish police were involved. Too quick for Mr. Fitzgerald's family to get to him. Unless Ireland has an infiltrated hospital, impossible to have induced coma used as a control mechanism. Spain is perfect. Irish people can't ask questions easily. Suspicion tends to fall off of the Irish police because it is far away.

Now, the remaining commissioners know when to back off too, right?

**And so do I.**

I'm dropping my GSOC complaint and getting out of this business myself. It's not just that I don't want to be tortured, maimed and/or die, (which, yes, is part of the story), it is that I KNOW that they are right -- my complaint will NOT be entertained.

This problem of control of the Gardai by a powerful police gang is so evident and so dangerous that I encourage everyone in the Dail to come to a common solution and not to make a political football out of it.

Please don't concentrate on making your opponent parties a failure -- please concentrate on what works for Ireland.

**And if people are noticed to irrationally opposing sensible measures against the Garda Mafia problem, always be suspicious that the Garda Mafia have dirt on them.**

I am willing to be officially interviewed by some members of Dail/Seanad Eireann, if desired for evidentiary purposes. I don't mind if proceedings are recorded as audio and/or video for records but I don't want such audio/video going to the press.

And if the police want to talk to me, my first question will be, "Am I required by law to talk to you now?" and unless they say "yes" I'm going away and not talking to them.

And after that, my work is done on this.

Thankyou for your service to the People of Ireland,

David Reynolds

---

---

## Appendix

### A Major Crime was committed by many Gardai in the Garda Mutiny of 2016

Garda Síochána Act 2005

Causing disaffection.

59.— (1) A person is guilty of an offence if he or she induces, **or does any act calculated to induce**, any member of the Garda Síochána to withhold his or her services or to commit a breach of discipline.

(2) A person guilty of an offence under *subsection (1)* is liable—

(a) on summary conviction, to a fine not exceeding €3,000 or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months or both, or

(b) on conviction on indictment, to a fine not exceeding €50,000 or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years or both.

Note that there is no usage of the word "strike" here in the act. Doing an act **calculated** to induce Gardai to withhold service is the **crime of causing disaffection in the force**.

Suppose there is a law that makes it a crime specifically to do an act calculated to induce anyone to burn down someone else's house.



I would commit an offense if I said any of these things to ANYONE:

"Let's burn down Paddy's house."

"Let's get together and think about burning down Paddy's house."

"Let's burn down Paddy's house if he's not at home."

"Let's burn down Paddy's house unless he does what we say."

"Let's get together and think about burning down Paddy's house unless he does what we say."

In a like manner, Gardai encouraging each other to even CONSIDER withdrawing their services is an act calculated to induce Gardai to withdraw their services.

So:

Any person (Garda or not) who put to Gardai the idea of withholding Garda services

Any person who supported such a motion, spoke in favor of it

Voted for it,

etc.

**breached section 59 of the Garda Síochána Act of 2005**, caused disaffection within the force and can be exposed to 5 years imprisonment and/or a fine of up to €50,000

Interestingly, the Gardaí passing on the threat of the Garda Strike was not the crime. The crime was getting together and considering going on strike.

Threatening to strike should possibly be coded as a separate offense.